CHRIS VAN HOLLEN 8th District, Maryland

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

## Congress of the United States **House of Representatives**

Washington, DC 20515

1419 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-5341

> DISTRICT OFFICES: 51 MONROE STREET, #507 ROCKVILLE, MD 20850 (301) 424–3501

3409 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE MOUNT RAINIER, MD 20712 (301) 927–5223

www.house.gov/vanhollen

March 29, 2004

The Honorable Donald H. Rumsfeld Secretary Department of Defense The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Secretary Rumsfeld:

We are writing to express our serious concerns about the proposal for a new Department of Defense (DoD) labor relations system that has been distributed to congressional staff and employee groups.

In the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), which was enacted last November, the Department was authorized to modify the procedures for resolving labor-management disputes for the next six years. However, Congress stated that any new procedures would have to protect fundamental labor rights, such as the right of employees to join unions, the right of unions to bargain collectively, and the duty of unions and management to bargain in good faith. Congress also stated that the current labor relations system could be modified only in furtherance of the Department's "national security mission."

In hearings that preceded the passage of the NDAA, DoD officials repeatedly stated that they were not trying to eliminate collective bargaining rights.<sup>2</sup> A majority of House members from both parties voted for the bill with the assurance that fundamental labor rights would be protected. Thus, we were very troubled to learn that DoD has submitted a proposal for a new labor relations system that abrogates these rights and goes well beyond what Congress intended in the NDAA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2004 (P.L. 108-136), § 9902(m)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Testimony of Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz before the House Government Reform Committee (May 6, 2003) ("My understanding is that collective bargaining will still be an essential part of the process"); Testimony of Undersecretary of Defense David Chu before the House Subcommittee on Civil Service and Agency Organization (Apr. 29, 2003) ("And there's no proposal here to – for anyone to lose his or her collective bargaining rights").

Under this proposal, good-faith collective bargaining would be virtually eliminated and replaced by "consultation" with unions over proposed personnel changes. DoD could unilaterally decide what personnel changes are "significant" enough to be subject to collective bargaining. If DoD and its unions could not reach agreement, the Department could unilaterally implement the personnel changes and cut off all post-implementation negotiations. Moreover, DoD could unilaterally issue regulations to supersede existing collective bargaining agreements negotiated by the Department and its unions.

To the extent that any collective bargaining is permitted under the new labor relations system, labor-management disputes would be resolved by a newly created Defense Labor Relations Board (DLRB). This board would be located within the Department, with its members selected by the Secretary. We do not believe such a system satisfies the NDAA requirement that any labor relations system developed by DoD must provide for "independent third party review of decisions."

The DoD proposal also contains several provisions aimed solely at reducing union membership. Most notably, the proposal prohibits as many as 200,000 DoD employees – including some clerical employees, some professional employees, attorneys, and termappointment employees – from joining unions. DoD has provided no justification for how such changes further the Department's national security mission, as is required by the NDAA.

We strongly urge the Department to withdraw this proposal immediately and submit a new proposal that is consistent with the intent of Congress.

Sincerely,

CHRIS VAN HOLLEN

Member of Congress

FRANK WOLF

Member of Congress

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NDAA at § 9902(m)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Union-Busting, DoD Style, Federal Times (Feb. 16, 2004).

fillowy Robarnay Jay frula John Control Blum Dail Mihl H. Michel allet Rellen Je Hoeffel D. C. J. Jim Fangerin Dine G. Water Cardyn McCarthy finitel acousts Vila - Ed (a se Jamy Balduri Ellenobauscher frin M. Dermott

Saw Farr Suran Nivis Com Collette Bon Kind Bail W. Finjalva Mail abenenombia Je Congre (.A. hutch trype by Elin ECommy Lois Capped Michael M. Hand Fra Illa Barbara Lee Mildy L. Adis Dennist Knemer Stephane/ plls Jues Jarolyn C. Kelfarnik

Oale E. Ciesa Feter Setzin Devic Mille Thille 1. W. Willy May Mehn Core Lotest Jones Janes Mora Barneytrank M= Frm= Etil L. Fryst Rosa C. J. Pan Bart Gordon Majort. Chum Robert J. Matorii Carolyn B. Malorey Genoth halls Majine Waters

puil buturen norm Dichs Tom Lantos 1\_ Hold Jan 1 lukeur Man Son aland Hartys A Eswant acerthole Stents Jal Jun - Wm. Lacy Clay mie Shampson Cino Rodigne E Julia Carson Nick Zmom Set 7- Haven McCarthy

Donald For Em Some Taylor John Lavy. (hall lugall Bat Eyak Ohnlongyn Ollo Corin Brown hof (dwards Bryster) Me Stair De awagener Jam my Inven Rush Holt frelle anchon Leonard L. Borwell Dans Delette

Mitable Set Mallen Mai Bry Jin Ohenster Bel Bun Calaba Je men fordet Fred Stylent. Agul course of murky John F. Tuny Buo Cramor Jackerum Lind Siney Wills gus Jim Cavis Potril I Kenne frak Pallmigh

Leta Butch Tim Cym Jed Strickland The lis Miha Don Caret Jupon Choolay Old Bron Imfre D. Broken J. Relut EAns Martin O. Sabel Description Mallodall thath # Math BerCarl John Jothel

Box Film Show Bookler Daid We Ting Denny K. Davis grace 3 hapoletaro Allen Boyd Lea BSeff Junk W. Balla Jr. Junktum. Stelley Buhley (late Lavey Earl Blumenauer (Muy 1. Costello Solp Dennie G. Thanpon

John B. Jam	Kendrick B. Muk
Mana melhit	